

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,492	ELDIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Saqib J. Siddiqui	2138	

All Participants:

(1) Saqib J. Siddiqui.

(2) Keith Chanroo.

Status of Application: Notice of Allowance mailed.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 November 2006

Time: 12:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

Dastidar et al. US Pat no. 7,024,327

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amending claim 10 to add the limitation of comparing first and second test data to isolate fault, in order to place claims in condition of allowance. The Attorney of Record Keith Chanroo, Registration number 36,480, at 408-879-7710 approved the proposed amendments..